Gravatt, Dan

From:

Peters, Dana

Sent:

Monday, June 16, 2014 8:13 AM

To: Subject: Gravatt, Dan RE: MCE answers

No, Mark reviewed and then walked them down to SUPR, not sure if they were mailed or not.

Thanks,

Dana

From: Gravatt, Dan

Sent: Monday, June 16, 2014 8:06 AM

To: Peters, Dana

Subject: FW: MCE answers

Dana, can you confirm that this letter to MCE was actually mailed on Friday?

Thanks,
Daniel R. Gravatt, PG
US EPA Region 7 SUPR/MOKS
11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, KS 66219
Phone (913) 551-7324

Principles and integrity are expensive, but they are among the very few things worth having.

From: Gravatt, Dan

Sent: Friday, June 13, 2014 10:15 AM

To: Brooks, Karl

Cc: Tapia, Cecilia; Hague, Mark; Peterson, Mary; Peters, Dana; Cacho, Julia; Field, Jeff; Hoefer, David

Subject: RE: MCE answers

Karl,

Jeff and I have reviewed the responses you asked about in your previous e-mail and your voicemail. I concur with Jeff's analysis below. Concerning our response to Ed's question in Section 2, comment 10, since EPA is not requiring or performing the leachate testing for radionuclides, Ed would need to seek further details on the ongoing testing from Republic or MSD.

Daniel R. Gravatt, PG US EPA Region 7 SUPR/MOKS 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, KS 66219 Phone (913) 551-7324

Principles and integrity are expensive, but they are among the very few things worth having.

From: Field, Jeff

Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2014 5:42 PM

To: Hoefer, David; Gravatt, Dan

074

OUOI

40493895 Superfund 3,0

Cc: Tapia, Cecilia

Subject: FW: MCE answers

David/Dan:

I have reviewed Karl's comments and find comments concerning "sec1, no.4 and sec 2, no. 8 are consistent with the letter to Ed Smith and Doug Clemens dated May 23, 2014. Regarding comments sec 2, nos 10-11. The answer to comment 10 may be factually correct but seems a little sparse on language that should explicitly show that Republic is required to collect leachate samples and have them analyzed for contaminants as prescribed in the permit from MSD. The results of the laboratory analysis is provided to MSD to ensure all contaminants levels are below acceptable limits. The answer to comment 11 is accurate. I also think the last two paragraphs are consistent with the letters to Ed Smith and Doug Clemens I alluded to earlier.

You both may want to go over Karl's comments and my analysis to make sure I'm we are all on the same page.

Thanks,

Jeff

Jeffrey L. Field, Chief
Missouri/Kansas Remedial Branch
Superfund Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
11201 Renner Blvd
Lenexa, Kansas 66219
Phone: 913-551-7548

Cell: 816-500-3861 Fax: 913-551-9548

From: Brooks, Karl

Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2014 3:09 PM To: Field, Jeff; Gravatt, Dan; Hoefer, David

Cc: Tapia, Cecilia; Hague, Mark; Peterson, Mary; Peters, Dana; Cacho, Julia

Subject: MCE answers

Please look at final version of EPA answers to MCE 25 Apr 14 letter. If I'm right on below, letter is good to go. If it needs revision, please make them and show me final again. Appreciate all the work taken to answer these Qs.

Be sure our answer to sec 1, no. 4, and sec 2, no. 8, is consistent with my letter to Smith re: FOIA.

In sec 2, nos. 10-11, is the leachate testing done by PRPS/their contractors and then reported to MSD?

If we are to remain consistent with our pledge to CAG, then we will need to maintain that position, as ably set out in last 2 grafs of this MCE letter.

Tx, Karl